In this paper, we propose a new approach for calculating a user’s real point of regard (POR) using a head mounted binocular eye tracking system. We show that the coupling of one of the user’s eyes and the scene camera can be considered as a stereovision system. We then propose a new description for binocular eye trackers, in which we model them via pairs of stereovision systems having the scene camera in common. In this model, we use a hybrid fundamental matrix which allows to take into account the spherical shape of the eyeball model we use. Whatever the distance our model does not require any a priori information on the user’s morphology to calculate the POR.

We first described eye tracking system via a stereovision model. This model reveals that there is no longer a point-to-point relationship with a monococular eye tracker, but a point-to-line relation, i.e. to each position of the pupil will correspond a line in the scene camera (an epipolar line). Therefore, we suggest using a binocular eye tracker to determine the POR by the intersection of two epipolar lines.

![Figure 1: Model of the Eye-tracker system and the eye. Here, the eye’s surface is considered to be planar.](image)

The fundamental matrix noted \( F \) is the algebraic representation of the epipolar geometry. It only depends on the camera configuration (intrinsic and extrinsic parameters), not on the objects in the scene. For every point \( p_e \) (cf. figure 1) in the eye camera image the corresponding epipolar line is:

\[
D_p = F p_e \tag{1}
\]

The dimensions of the matrix \( F \) is \( 3 \times 3 \). Knowing one of the two points \( p_s \) or \( p_e \), the following relation is equivalent to the corresponding epipolar line and constrains the matching of points:

\[
p_s^t F p_e = 0 \tag{2}
\]

The relation between the eye plane and the eye camera can be defined as a homography as follows:

\[
p_e = H p_e \tag{3}
\]

If \( F \) denotes the fundamental matrix between the scene camera and the eye, then the epipolar geometry between the eye camera and the scene camera, can be obtained by replacing \( p_e \) in equation (2), by the definition of \( p_e \) as of equation (3):

\[
p_s^t F H p_e = 0 \tag{4}
\]

We propose to extend the previous stereo model by assuming a spherical eye shape. Although this remains an approximation to the true shape, it is much better than a planar model. Also, we know from previous works [2] that the pupil’s displacement is well described by the eyeball model. It means that in any situation, the pupil center is located on a sphere.

In [1], it was shown that the epipolar geometry between a central catadioptric camera and a perspective one, can be described by a \( 6 \times 6 \) “hybrid” fundamental matrix. We can transpose this directly to our case. Let us first write down the associated expressions and then explain their meaning and application. Let \( p \) be the POR and \( p_s = (x_s, y_s, 1)^t \) its image in the scene camera (we sometimes call this POR too). Let \( p_e = (x_e, y_e, 1)^t \) be the image of the pupil center in the eye camera. From the above observations and [1], it follows that there exists a fundamental matrix \( F \) of size \( 6 \times 6 \) such that the epipolar constraint between \( p_s \) and \( p_e \) can be written as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_s^2 & x_s y_s & y_s^2 & x_s & y_s & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  6x_e
  6y_e
  6
\end{bmatrix}
= 0 \tag{5}
\]

This expression can be interpreted as follows. For a given point \( p_s \) in the scene camera, the possible matching points \( p_e \) in the eye camera, must lie on a conic (the above equation is quadratic in the coordinates of \( p_e \)), the epipolar conic. The other way round is similar; however, the epipolar conic in the scene camera, degenerates into a pair of lines (cf. figure 2). The reason for this is as follows: when back-projecting a point \( p_e \) to the sphere, there are two mathematical solutions for the intersection of the sphere and the back-projection line, the true one and a “parasite” solution. To each of these, corresponds a line of sight of the eye. The epipolar curve is thus the union of the images of two lines i.e. a pair of lines or a degenerate conic.

![Figure 2: Calculation’s simulation POR using a matrix F. Two lines (a) and (b) correspond to the conic of the left eye. Same for the right eye. The lines intersections give the 4 solutions for the POR.](image)
