Face detection systems based on boosted Haar features in a cascade architecture [3] perform very well both in terms of accuracy and speed. However, they are vulnerable to variations in illumination conditions. On the other hand, face detection systems based on the Local Binary Pattern (LBP) [2] or its variants [1] show robustness to illumination conditions but do not take advantage of the richness of the Haar feature set in efficiently modelling faces.

In this paper, we propose a face detection system based on a new type of feature called the Haar Local Binary Pattern (HLBP) feature which combines the advantages of both Haar and LBP and is boosted using AdaBoost as in [3]. This feature compares the LBP label counts in two adjacent image subregions similar to Haar masks, i.e. it indicates whether the number of times a particular LBP label occurs in one region is greater or lesser than the number of times it occurs in another region, offset by a certain threshold. They capture the region-specific variations of local texture patterns which is hypothesized to remain relatively stable across variations in illumination conditions. Experiments show that our features more robust to illumination variations, compared to Haar and LBP individually.

Let the input be an \( N \times M \) gray-level image, represented as an \( N \times M \) matrix \( I \). Firstly, the LBP image \( I_{LBP} \) [2] is calculated from the original input image \( I \) using \( LBP_{a,1} \), (ref. Fig. 1) found to be the optimal operator in our case. Next, the Integral Histogram \( \{I^H_k\}_{k=1}^{16} \) [4] of the LBP image \( I_{LBP} \) is calculated. The individual pixels \( I^H_k(x,y) \) of the \( k \)-th Integral Histogram \( I^H_k \) is calculated as the number of pixels above and to the left of the pixel \( (x,y) \) in the LBP image \( I_{LBP} \) which have a label \( k \), i.e.

\[
I^H_k(x,y) = \sum_{(u,v) \in I_{LBP} \atop (u,v) < (x,y)} \delta_k(u,v)
\]

Thus the HLBP features involve counting the number of pixels in a region having a certain LBP label \( k \), instead of summing over pixel intensities as with Haar features. Due to adverse illumination conditions, the pixel intensities in an image \( I \) may change. However, the LBP label of a pixel is much more robust to illumination changes. Thus, the number of pixels within a region having a particular LBP label \( k \) will also remain more or less constant with varying illumination. Thus the final HLBP feature value, as defined in Eqn. 5, remains robust too. This is the advantage of the HLBP feature.

Face detection experiments on several standard databases have shown that our system performs significantly better in adverse imaging conditions than normal Haar features and performs reasonably better than MCT features [1] with much less storage and computation time requirements.

\[ S_R = I^H_k(a_2,b_2) - I^H_k(a_3,b_3) - I^H_k(a_1,b_1) + I^H_k(a_4,b_4) \]

Thus the HLBP features involve counting the number of pixels in a region having a certain LBP label \( k \), instead of summing over pixel intensities as with Haar features. Due to adverse illumination conditions, the pixel intensities in an image \( I \) may change. However, the LBP label of a pixel is much more robust to illumination changes. Thus, the number of pixels within a region having a particular LBP label \( k \) will also remain more or less constant with varying illumination. Thus the final HLBP feature value, as defined in Eqn. 5, remains robust too. This is the advantage of the HLBP feature.

Figure 1: The \( LBP_{a,1} \) label for a particular pixel \((x_c, y_c)\) is calculated by comparing its intensity with each one of its four neighbors (vertical and horizontal only), \( \{x_i, y_i\}_{i=0}^{3} \), and forming a 4-bit word.

Figure 2: The five types of masks used for the calculation of both Haar and HLBP features, I. Bihorizontal, II. Bivertical, III. Diagonal, IV. Trihorizontal, V. Trivertical.

Figure 3: Calculation of the sum of LBP label counts within region \( R \) using Integral Histogram (ref. Eqn. 6).